

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 230 (2003) 41-44

www.elsevier.com/locate/ijms

Short communication

# Long-lived negative ion formation by Alq<sub>3</sub>

A.V. Kukhta<sup>a</sup>, D.V. Ritchik<sup>a</sup>, N.L. Asfandiarov<sup>b</sup>, V.S. Fal'ko<sup>b</sup>, V.G. Lukin<sup>b</sup>, S.A. Pshenichnyuk<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Molecular and Atomic Physics, F. Skaryna Ave. 70, Minsk 220072, Belarus <sup>b</sup> Institute of Physics of Molecules and Crystals, October Prospect, 151, Ufa 450075, Russia

Received 9 July 2003; accepted 21 August 2003

## Abstract

Organic electroactive molecule Alq<sub>3</sub> has been investigated by means of Electron Capture Negative Ion Mass Spectrometry (ECNI MS). Only extremely long-lived parent molecular NIs were detected in the electron energy region 0–1 eV. Mean lifetime  $\tau_a$  of these ions decreases from ~800 µs at ~0 eV to 400 µs at 0.8 eV. The results of  $\tau_a$  measurement allow to estimate adiabatic electron affinity  $1 < EA_a < 3 eV$  in the framework of the model of autodetachment elaborated by Christophorou and co-workers. © 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Electron capture; Long-lived negative ion; Autodetachment; Electroactive molecule

# 1. Introduction

A large number of organic electroactive compounds suitable for thin film applications in optoelectronics [1] have been developed up to date. It is known that electron-accepting molecules can make much easier electron tunneling from the metal cathode in electric field. Thin films made from such materials are supposed to have electronic type of conductivity. As a rule, electron transporting materials can form long-lived negative ions due to nondissotiative attachment of an additional electron to neutral molecule. Tris(8-hydroxyquinolinato)aluminum (Alq<sub>3</sub>) is a classical electroactive molecule widely applied in organic electroluminescent devices [1-3]. Therefore, investigation of its electronic structure, in particular interactions with free low-energy electrons, is actual. Collisions of electrons with energies up to 50 eV with Alq<sub>3</sub> revealed transitions into singlet and triplet states [4]. A small evidence of NI formation is appeared in electron energy loss spectra [4] near elastic scattering peak, i.e., at thermal and near thermal energies. On the other hand, Electron Capture Negative Ion Mass Spectrometry (ECNI MS) is a powerful tool for investigation of electron structure of negative ions [5]. Long-lived molecular NI formation is known since sixties [6]. Christophorou et al. developed the theory of autodetachment and verified it at numerous number of lifetime  $(\tau_a)$  measurements [7–9]. Measurements of  $\tau_a$  may be used for preliminary estimation of the value of electron affinity (EA) of the target molecules in the framework of this model [8]. Nevertheless, only few works were devoted to long-lived molecular NI investigations and autodetachment lifetime measurements last decade [10–13].

# 2. Experiment

ECNI mass spectrum of Alq3 was measured using a modified [5] MI-1201 mass spectrometer under the following conditions: accelerating voltage 4 kV, electron trap current ~1  $\mu$ A, FWHM of electron energy distribution  $\Delta E_{1/2}$  = 0.4 eV, energy of electrons  $E_{el}$  varies in the range of 0-12 eV. This type of instrument allows to measure NI curves of effective yield (CEY) as a function of electron energy. Focusing magnet for the electron beam collimating is not shown in Fig. 1. Typical ion source potentials were the following: potential of the anode chamber (#1 in Fig. 1), defined the energy of the electron beam, is varied in the range  $-1 < U_{el} <$ +13 V; the first and the second electron focusing lens (#4)  $-1 < U_{1ef} < 0$  V, and  $0 < U_{2ef} < +2$  V, respectively; the repel potential (#7)  $-0.8 < U_r < -0.2$  V; the ion extraction and the ion focusing lens potentials (#10)  $U_{\text{ext}} \sim 10 \pm 10$  V, and  $U_{\rm if} \sim \pm 50$  V, respectively. All tuning potentials are

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence author. Tel.: +7-3472-31358; fax: +7-3472-313538. *E-mail address:* sapsh@anrb.ru (S.A. Pshenichnyuk).



Fig. 1. Schematic view of the ECNI mass spectrometer. Notations: 1, heating reaction chamber (anode chamber, stainless steel, T = 80-300 °C); 2, tungsten filament; 3, electron beam; 4, electron optics; 5, heating inflow system (stainless steel rod); 6, sample under investigation (evaporating); 7, repel electrode; 8, electron collector; 9, interaction region; 10, ion extraction and focusing lens; 11, first free-drift region; 12, magnet analyzer; 13, second free-drift region; 14, deflection plates; 15, ion optics; 16, secondary electron multiplier; 17, pulse multiplier; 18, detection system; 19, control and record system.

varied in the wide range for the proper adjustment of the ion source. It is possible to measure mean autodetachment lifetime  $\tau_a$  of NI according to the method developed by Khvostenko et al. [5] for static magnet mass spectrometer measurements. Deflection plates (#14 in Fig. 1) allow to deviate charged species from the multiplier entrance and to measure the neutrals' signal only. When there is no deflecting voltage on the plates #14, then the multiplier detects total particle (ion + neutrals) current  $I_p = k_1 N^- + k_2 N^0$ ; otherwise, when deflection voltage is switched on ( $U_d \sim 1 \text{ kV}$ ), then the neutrals, formed in the second free-drift region (#13 in Fig. 1), are detected only,  $I_0 = k_2 N^0$ ;  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the amplification factors for the ions  $X^-$  and neutrals  $X^0$  of X, respectively;  $N^-$  and  $N^0$  are the number of IN and neutrals.  $\tau_a$  may be calculated according to appropriate formula [5]:

$$\tau_{\rm a}(X^-) = \frac{t({\rm SF}_6^-)}{\ln[1 + (k_1 I_0 / k_2 (I_{\rm p} - I_0))]} \sqrt{\frac{M_X}{M_{{\rm SF}_6^-}}}, \tag{1}$$

where  $t(SF_6^-) = 5.95 \,\mu s$  is the time-of-flight of  $SF_6^-$  in the second free-drift region;  $M_X$  is the molecular mass of X;  $M_{SF_6^-} = 146$  amu. Amplification factors were  $k_1 = 8 \times 10^5$ and  $k_2 = 4.74 \times 10^5$  in our measurements. At the first step we measure  $SF_6^-/SF_6$  lifetime with the aim to find  $k_1/k_2$  ratio from Eq. (1). It is assumed that autodetachment lifetime of  $SF_6^-/SF_6 \tau_a = 68 \,\mu s$  [14]. At the second step, we measure the particle and neutral current of the ion under investigation, and calculate  $\tau_a(X^-)$  using formula (1) in supposition that the ratio  $k_1/k_2$  = constant for the different masses. Time-window of  $\tau_a$  measurements is about 5–5000  $\mu s$ .

Alq<sub>3</sub> was synthesized and purified by manifold sublimation. The chemical structure of Alq<sub>3</sub> is presented below:



Despite Alq<sub>3</sub> is a chelate molecule, it is rather stable. The temperature of thermal decomposition threshold is about  $310 \,^{\circ}$ C. Vaporization of Alq<sub>3</sub> was reached at the temperature  $\sim 150 \,^{\circ}$ C of the rod. The temperature of the ion source was kept  $\sim 80 \,^{\circ}$ C. Molecules under investigation multiple collide with the ion source walls before ionization event due to special construction of the ion source. Therefore, the internal vibration energy of the target molecules corresponds to the temperature of the ion source.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The results obtained are presented in Fig. 2. Only long-lived molecular NI (main isotope m/z 459 amu) are detected in the NI spectrum of Alq<sub>3</sub>. The shape of the Alq<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CEY shows clearly that there are more than one long-lived resonant states in the energy region 0–0.9 eV. Really, the full weight of Alq<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CEY in the half-maximum FWHM > 0.6 eV, and FWHM(SF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>) ~ 0.4–0.45 eV (see Fig. 2). It is obvious that the anion, living some thousand microseconds, exists in the ground electron state, independently from its initial electron state and primary mechanism of its forma-



Fig. 2. Curves of effective yield  $SF_6^-/SF_6$ ,  $Alq_3^-/Alq_3$ , and  $Alq_3^0/Alq_3$ , left axes, together with mean autodetachment lifetime (right axes) as a function of electron energy. Marks show lifetime energy dependence.

tion, either the nuclear excited Feshbach resonance or the shape resonance. Mean lifetime of molecular NI, relative autodetachment, practically linear decreases with the electron energy. Such kind of the energy dependence of  $\tau_a$  is very strange because of typical behavior of  $\tau_a$  as a function of the incident electron energy should by exponential [7,8]. It is known that the calculated  $\tau_a$  function always decreases much faster with the energy than experimental one. This effect has been explained by Christophorou [9] and is attributed to the poor electron energy resolution in the experiment ( $\Delta E_{1/2} \sim 0.45 \text{ eV}$  in our measurements). Possible, this effect may lead to distortion of the observed  $\tau_a(\varepsilon)$  shape right up to the linear dependence. So, measured linear decrease of the autodetachment lifetime is an artifact of the low-energy resolution [9].

#### 4. EA estimation

Measured decreasing of  $\tau_a$  with the energy, in principle, may be considered in the framework of a statistical model elaborated by Christophorou et al. [8]. However, this theoretical approach requires knowledge of the dominant parameter of the model, the EA of the target molecule. Unfortunately, up to now there are no available data on EA of Alq<sub>3</sub>. So, only qualitative consideration of Alq<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation and autodetachment decay is possible. On the other hand, as it has been pointed out previously [7], the value of EA may be evaluated by this model if we accept certain initial assumptions. Therefore, let us try to solve the inverse problem of EA estimation by known lifetime energy dependence.

Generally speaking, Christophorou's model has two basic parameters which define the value of autodetachment lifetime: EA and number of effective degrees of freedom N'participating in the sharing of the ion's excess energy [8]. The main equation is as following:

$$\tau^{-1} = \frac{\sigma(\varepsilon_{\rm i})\varepsilon_{\rm i}^{1/2}}{[\varepsilon_{\rm i} + {\rm EA} + a\varepsilon_{\rm z}]^{N-1}} \left[\frac{m}{\pi^2\hbar^3} (\varepsilon_{\rm i} + a'\varepsilon_{\rm z})^{N+1/2}I\right],\tag{2}$$

where  $\sigma(\varepsilon_i)$  is the electron capture cross-section,  $\varepsilon_i$  is the initial electron energy, *m* is the electron mass,  $\varepsilon_z$  is the zero-point energy of the molecule, *a* and *a'* are known correction factor, N = 3n - 6 is the number of vibrational degrees of freedom.

For the sake of the main case of Alq<sub>3</sub>, N = 150,  $\sigma_{\rm C}(\varepsilon_{\rm i})$  may be fitted by Gaussian function:

$$\sigma_{\rm C} = \frac{A\sqrt{2}e^{(2(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{\rm C})^2/w^2)}}{w\sqrt{\pi}},\tag{3}$$

with the following parameters: A = 83.6,  $\varepsilon_{\rm C} = 0.26$ , w =0.7. Other necessary parameters are the following: a = 0.85; a' = 1.0; EA = 1 eV;  $\sigma_{\rm C}(\varepsilon_{\rm C}) = 95 \,\text{\AA}^2$ . The last value has been estimated from quantum chemical calculations of the optimal geometry of the neutral molecule. This is the parameter set #1. The value of EA was chosen for the best fit with the experimental value of autodetachment lifetime. The results of estimation of the autodetachment lifetime are presented in Fig. 3. Comparison of the experimental measurements of  $\tau_a$  (Fig. 2) and its theoretical evaluations (Fig. 3) shows reasonable agreement at the peak maximum  $\varepsilon_i$  = 0.26 eV. The calculated function decreases much faster with the energy than experimental one, the same as in the case of the original theory [7,8]. This effect has been explained by Christophorou [9], and is attributed to the poor electron energy resolution in the experiment ( $\Delta E_{1/2} \sim 0.4$ -0.45 eV in our measurements). So, if our parameter set #1 for calculation of  $\tau_a$  is reasonable enough, then the adiabatic EA of Alq<sub>3</sub> is about 1 eV. It necessary to point out that such kind



Fig. 3. Calculated autodetachment lifetime as a function of electron energy (circles) together with Gaussian fitted electron capture cross-section (line). Parameter set #1, see text.

of autodetachment lifetime evaluation is very approximate. Nevertheless, unknown values of the parameters a and a', which influence on the effective number of degrees of freedom in the target molecule and anion, make our evaluations ambiguous. Really, if a = a' = 1, parameter set #2, and all the other parameters are the same in set #1, then the value of EA should be  $\sim 3 \text{ eV}$ . So, lifetime measurement may be used for preliminary estimation of the Alq<sub>3</sub> EA.

The shape of  $Alq_3^-$  curve of effective yield indicates that vertical EA = -0.26 eV, according to the  $Alq_3^-$  peak maximum. In accordance to generally accepted classification [15], this is a shape resonance which relaxes into the ground electronic state of the anion, as it has been proposed by Cooper et al. [16] for the case of *p*-bezoquinone. Theoretical estimations, according to Ref. [8], predict adiabatic EA in the range from 1 to 3 eV, depending of the effective number of degrees of freedom sharing the ion's excess energy.

## 5. Conclusion

Curve of effective yield of  $Alq_3^-$  shows that the vertical EA = -0.26 eV for this molecule. Obvious, measured linear decrease of the autodetachment lifetime is an artifact of the low-energy resolution [9]. Autodetachment molecular NI lifetime measurements,  $\tau_a = 700 \,\mu s$  at 0.26 eV, allows to estimate, as a preliminary, the adiabatic EA of the target molecule as 1–3 eV in the framework of the autodetachment model proposed by Christophorou et al. [7,8].

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. G. Sasnouski for  $Alq_3$  synthesis and purification. This work was partially supported by the Program of Basic and Nuclear Physics Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences.

# References

- [1] A.V. Kukhta, J. Appl. Spectrosc. 70 (2003) 165.
- [2] C.W. Tang, S.A. VanSlyke, Appl. Phys. Lett. 51 (1987) 913.
- [3] A.V. Kukhta, G.G. Gorokh, E.E. Kolesnik, A.I. Mitkovets, M.I. Taoubi, Yu.A. Koshin, A.M. Mozalev, Surf. Sci. 507–510 (2002) 593.
- [4] A.V. Kukhta, S.M. Kazakov, D.V. Murtazaliev, D.V. Ritchik, Chem. Phys. Lett. 373 (2003) 492.
- [5] V.I. Khvostenko, Negative Ion Mass Spectrometry in Organic Chemistry, "Nauka", Moscow, 1981.
- [6] L.G. Christophorou, Atomic and Molecular Radiation Physics, Wiley-Interscience, London, 1971.
- [7] L.G. Christophorou, A. Hadjiantoniou, J.G. Carter, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. II 69 (1973) 1713.
- [8] L.G. Christophorou, K.S. Gant, V.E. Anderson, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. II 73 (1977) 804.
- [9] L.G. Christophorou, Atomic and Molecular Radiation Physics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.
- [10] Y.V. Vasil'ev, V.A. Mazunov, E.R. Nazirov, Org. Mass Spectrom. 26 (1991) 739.
- [11] Y.V. Vasil'ev, R.F. Tuktarov, V.A. Mazunov, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 11 (1997) 757.
- [12] N.L. Asfandiarov, V.S. Fal'ko, V.G. Lukin, E.P. Nafikova, S.A. Pshenichnyuk, A.I. Fokin, G.S. Lomakin, Y.V. Chizhov, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 15 (2001) 1869.
- [13] S. Matejcik, T.D. Mark, P. Spanel, D. Smith, T. Jaffke, E. Illenberger, J. Chem. Phys. 102 (1995) 2516.
- [14] P.W. Harland, J.C.J. Thynne, J. Phys. Chem. 75 (1971) 3517.
- [15] E. Illenberger, B.M. Smirnov, Uspekhi Phys. Nauk. (Rus.) 168 (1998) 731.
- [16] C.D. Cooper, W.T. Naff, R.N. Compton, J. Chem. Phys. 63 (1975) 2752.